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Introduction

Developing numerical libraries that achieve highest performance on modern computer architectures became an extremely difficult task due to the increasingly complicated microarchitectures, deep cache hierarchies, and different forms of on-chip parallelism, such as multiple processor cores and SIMD short vector instruction sets.

The difficulty of library development led to interest in automated tools that simplify the development of numerical high-performance libraries, without sacrificing performance. Program generator Spiral [2] is an example of such tool for the domain of linear transforms, such as the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), FIR filters, and others. Spiral automatically generates the optimized and platform-adapted implementation given only the transforms specification (e.g. DFT_{1024}) and a high-level description of the divide-and-conquer recursive algorithm in the domain-specific language called SPL (Signal Processing Language). Spiral performs optimizations such as vectorization and parallelization using rewriting on the high-level of abstraction provided by SPL, and also lower-level representations.

To exploit the potential offered by the development automation tools, Intel Integrated Performance Primitives (IPP) library, which provides a wide number of optimized linear transform functions, starting with version 6.0, will include a special domain for the functions automatically generated by Spiral.

To date Spiral was restricted to generating code for transforms of fixed size, known at generation time. In this paper we overview our latest research results [3] that enable generating full general size libraries, for which the transform size is only known at runtime.

Library Generation

The goal of library generation is to produce a highly optimized transform implementation starting given only a transform and high-level specifications of divide-and-conquer algorithms (called breakdown rules) that the library should use.

For example, a typical input to the library generator is

\[
\text{Transform: } \text{DFT}_n, \\
\text{Algorithms: } \text{DFT}_{k,m} \rightarrow (\text{DFT}_k \otimes I_m) \text{diag}(\Omega_{k,m}) (I_k \otimes \text{DFT}_m)L_{k,m}, \\
\text{DFT}_2 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\text{Vectorization: } \text{2-way SSE} \\
\text{Multithreading: yes}
\]

Above, we used the well-known divide-and-conquer Cooley-Tukey fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm represented in SPL, defined in [2], however, the precise meaning of the SPL symbols and operators is not relevant here.

The output is a generated library that is

- for general input size;
- vectorized using the available SIMD vector instruction set;
- multithreaded with a fixed or variable number of threads;
- performance competitive with the best existing handwritten libraries.

Generating code for a fixed size transform is a fundamentally different problem from library generation. Fixed size code generation in Spiral works by decomposing the original transform at generation time into smaller transforms, until the base cases are reached. The full recursion tree, called rule-tree, is known at generation time, and is first translated into SPL, next into \(\sum\)SPL [1], then into intermediate code representation, and finally into a program that computes the transform. Generating a general size library, on the other hand, requires compiling each breakdown rule into a recursive function, so that it can be applied dynamically at runtime. Thus, a rule-tree is never actually constructed at generation time.

The library generation process consists of the several steps explained next.

**Compute the set of needed recursive functions.** In many cases, including the Cooley-Tukey FFT, the larger transforms are decomposed into smaller transforms of the same type, and it seems that only a single recursive function is sufficient. However, in order to achieve the best possible performance different steps inside the algorithm must be merged [1], which
leads to additional specialized functions with different interfaces. Implementation of these functions might require additional functions, and so forth.

**Perform vectorization and parallelization.** This step is done using rewriting rules applied at the SPL and lower level representations.

**Hot/cold parameter partitioning.** It is common for linear transform libraries to perform a number of constant pre-computations and other initialization tasks, such as memory allocation, as soon as the transform size, and other parameters are known. The goal of this step is to determine which parameters are cold, i.e., must be provided at the initialization stage, and which parameters can be provided later.

**Final code generation.** The last step is to implement each of the previously derived functions in the target language. Each function will need at least one recursive general size implementation (that calls other functions), at least one base case to terminate the recursion, and the initialization code. We mainly target C++, but in [3] also a Java backend is reported.

**Performance**

The performance of four example libraries, generated using Spiral, are shown in Fig. 1 and compared to FFTW and the Intel IPP. We observe that together with complete automation, generated libraries often achieve the highest performance. For the popular functionality, such as the DFT and the FIR filters, the performance of generated libraries is comparable to Intel IPP and FFTW. However, the less popular transforms such as the DCTs, are not as well optimized as the DFT in Intel IPP and FFTW, and consequently the generated libraries, which enjoy completely automated development and optimization, are faster.
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